

Before Starting the CoC Application

The CoC Consolidated Application is made up of two parts: the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing, with all of the CoC's project applications either approved and ranked, or rejected. The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for submitting both the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing in order for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for:

- Reviewing the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA in its entirety for specific application and program requirements.

- Using the CoC Application Detailed Instructions while completing the application in e-snaps.

- Answering all questions in the CoC application. It is the responsibility of the Collaborative Applicant to ensure that all imported and new responses in all parts of the application are fully reviewed and completed. When doing this keep in mind:

- This year, CoCs will see that a few responses have been imported from the FY 2015 CoC Application.

- For some of the questions HUD has provided documents to assist Collaborative Applicants in completing responses.

- For other questions, the Collaborative Applicant must be aware of responses provided by project applications in their Project Applications.

- Some questions require the Collaborative Applicant to attach a document to receive credit. This will be identified in the question.

- All questions marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory and must be completed in order to submit the CoC Application.

For CoC Application Detailed Instructions click [here](#).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: TX-500 - San Antonio/Bexar County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: South Alamo Regional Alliance for the Homeless

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Haven for Hope

1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1B-1. From the list below, select those organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if CoC meeting participants are voting members or if they sit on the CoC Board. Only select "Not Applicable" if the organization or person does not exist in the CoC's geographic area.

Organization/Person Categories	Participates in CoC Meetings	Votes, including electing CoC Board	Sits on CoC Board
Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	No	No	No
Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Youth advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
Veterans Affairs	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-1a. Describe in detail how the CoC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area. Please provide two examples of organizations or individuals from the list in 1B-1 to answer this question.

The CoC holds monthly Membership Council meetings with more than 50 agencies. The Council recommends to the SARAH Board, which includes business, government, hospitals, mental health, law enforcement, media, housing, school districts, service consumers, & more. The San Antonio Police Department sits on the Board. When a barrier with legal identification for the chronically homeless was identified, SAPD worked with SARAH to escort individuals to DPS & used client's fingerprint to obtain an ID. SAPD can get someone a valid form of ID within 24 hours & is coordinating this process with Coordinated Entry. USAA also sits on the CoC board. Service providers identified an issue of access to housing for veterans without an honorable discharge. City government & SARAH approached USAA with this barrier & received over \$2 million to rapidly rehouse all vets without any preconditions other than documented homelessness. This helped the CoC effectively end veteran homelessness in 2016.

1B-1b. List Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded and other youth homeless assistance providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Youth Service Provider (up to 10)	RHY Funded?	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.
George Gervin, Inc	Yes	Yes	Yes
Roy Maas Center	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seton Home	No	Yes	Yes
Joven, Inc	No	No	No
Family Service Association	No	No	No
Salvation Army	No	Yes	Yes
Thrive Youth Center, Inc	No	Yes	Yes

1B-1c. List the victim service providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area.

Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Victim Service Provider for Survivors of Domestic Violence (up to 10)	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016.
Family Violence Prevention Services	Yes	Yes

1B-2. Explain how the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior CoC Program competitions, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in 2016. (limit 1000 characters)

SARAH conducts an annual competitive process for new applications. The opportunity is publicized on SARAH’s website, www.sarahhomeless.org, and a notice of the funding is sent to the entire membership. SARAH conducts outreach to organizations addressing homelessness to be part of the membership. As SARAH updates the Community Homeless Resource Guide, non-member agencies are invited to attend SARAH’s membership council and to join the general distribution list. SARAH notified this membership list about a Bidder’s Conference to learn about CoC funding, and sent instructions on how to complete the new project application. New applicants were prioritized based on their experience with similar programs, ability to offer supportive services, outreach procedures, cost effectiveness, predicted housing and earned income performance measures, and ability to provide match and leverage. This year, two agencies new to the CoC application process were approved to be on the priority list.

1B-3. How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation? Bi-Monthly

1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1C-1. Does the CoC coordinate with Federal, State, Local, private and other entities serving homeless individuals and families and those at risk of homelessness in the planning, operation and funding of projects? Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source does not exist within the CoC's geographic area.

Funding or Program Source	Coordinates with Planning, Operation and Funding of Projects
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
Head Start Program	Yes
Housing and service programs funded through Federal, State and local government resources.	Yes

1C-2. The McKinney-Vento Act, requires CoC's to participate in the Consolidated Plan(s) (Con Plan(s)) for the geographic area served by the CoC. The CoC Program Interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7 (c) (4) requires the CoC to provide information required to complete the Con Plan(s) within the CoC's geographic area, and 24 CFR 91.100(a)(2)(i) and 24 CFR 91.110 (b)(2) requires the State and local Con Plan jurisdiction(s) consult with the CoC. The following chart asks for the information about CoC and Con Plan jurisdiction coordination, as well as CoC and ESG recipient coordination.

CoCs can use the CoCs and Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction Crosswalk to assist in answering this question.

	Number
Number of Con Plan jurisdictions with whom the CoC geography overlaps	3
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC participate with in their Con Plan development process?	3
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC provide with Con Plan jurisdiction level PIT data?	3
How many of the Con Plan jurisdictions are also ESG recipients?	3
How many ESG recipients did the CoC participate with to make ESG funding decisions?	3
How many ESG recipients did the CoC consult with in the development of ESG performance standards and evaluation process for ESG funded activities?	3

1C-2a. Based on the responses provided in 1C-2, describe in greater detail how the CoC participates with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) located in the CoC's geographic area and include the frequency and type of interactions between the CoC and the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s). (limit 1000 characters)

The City of San Antonio and Bexar County, the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions for San Antonio/Bexar County, are represented on the SARAH Continuum of Care (CoC) Board of Directors. The CoC Board holds monthly, two-hour meetings. Additionally, SARAH staff attend annual Consolidated Plan input sessions, and input is solicited directly from the SARAH Membership Council. The Membership Council includes homeless service providers and stakeholders, including representatives of CoC, ESG, RHY, VA, and HOPWA funding sources. HOPWA, RHY, ESG, VA, and CoC-funded agencies participate in HMIS, attend SARAH's committee meetings, and have input in all CoC plans and policies. Ongoing communication occurs between the CoC staff and Consolidated Plan entities, and local priorities identified by the CoC Board are used to determine all homeless services funding priorities through the County and City.

1C-2b. Based on the response in 1C-2, describe how the CoC is working with ESG recipients to determine local ESG funding decisions and how the CoC assists in the development of performance standards and evaluation of outcomes for ESG-funded activities. (limit 1000 characters)

The Director of Community Resources at Bexar County and the Assistant Director of Human Services with the City of San Antonio both sit on the local CoC Board. Both entities are ESG recipients, and actively participate in all CoC-planning, including the annual Point-In-Time Count (PIT). The results of the PIT are used to prioritize local investments in homelessness. CoC and ESG-funded projects receive all referrals through Coordinated Entry, and will be evaluated on how quickly they house people they accept as referrals. SARAH has data quality performance standards in place for HOPWA, RHY, ESG, VA, PATH, and CoC-funded agencies, and additional performance measures for CoC and ESG-funded projects. SARAH worked with the HMIS lead to create a runnable report for all performance measures for monitoring. SARAH also signs off on project applications that are submitted for state ESG funds to ensure they meet local priorities.

1C-3. Describe how the CoC coordinates with victim service providers and non-victim service providers (CoC Program funded and non-CoC funded) to ensure that survivors of domestic violence are provided housing and services that provide and maintain safety and security. Responses must address how the service providers ensure and maintain the safety and security of participants and how client choice is upheld. (limit 1000 characters)

SARAH is committed to addressing the needs of survivors of domestic violence (DV), and works closely with the region's main DV service provider, Family

Violence Prevention Services (FVPS), as well as other non-victim service providers to ensure safety and security, as well as client choice. FVPS is the largest DV program in Texas, and is heavily involved in the area Coordinated Entry (CE) system. FVPS helped SARAH develop a screening and diversion tool for survivors of DV included in the CE workflow during intake. This has ensured that the CE system is sensitive to survivor's safety needs and upholds fair housing principles. No personal identifiable information is transferred. If the client refuses DV services, they can be referred to a number of other housing and services programs, including CoC, ESG, and HHS-funded projects. The CE system also provides non-residential DV service referrals, such as those offered by the Bexar County Re-Entry Center or Family Justice Center.

1C-4. List each of the Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) within the CoC's geographic area. If there are more than 5 PHAs within the CoC's geographic area, list the 5 largest PHAs. For each PHA, provide the percentage of new admissions that were homeless at the time of admission between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016 and indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admissions preference in its Public Housing and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.

Public Housing Agency Name	% New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program from 7/1/15 to 6/30/16 who were homeless at entry	PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference
San Antonio Housing Authority	16.00%	Yes-HCV
TDHCA	4.00%	No
Housing Authority of New Braunfels		No
Schertz PHA		No
Housing Authority of Bexar County		No

If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.

1C-5. Other than CoC, ESG, Housing Choice Voucher Programs and Public Housing, describe other subsidized or low-income housing opportunities that exist within the CoC that target persons experiencing homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Other affordable housing opportunities in the CoC include housing supported by the LIHTC program and development assisted with CDBG and HOME. The CoC Board communicates with the City and County regarding the need for homeless preferences in the selection of projects to receive affordable housing funding. The Board also communicates with the State regarding the need to fund LIHTC projects that serve and are affordable to homeless households. Housing is also available through HOPWA funds. Since 1991, the LIHTC program has created over 17,000 affordable rental units in Bexar County which provide an important source of affordable housing.

1C-6. Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to ensure that homelessness is not criminalized in the CoC's geographic area. Select all that apply.

Engaged/educated local policymakers:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Engaged/educated law enforcement:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implemented communitywide plans:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No strategies have been implemented	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other:(limit 1000 characters)	
The San Antonio CoC has worked to decriminalize homelessness through advocacy. With the restructuring of our CoC Board, the San Antonio Chief of Police and the Bexar County Sheriff both have standing positions. Additionally, every three months every officer in the San Antonio Police Department (SAPD) goes through a diversion training that allows them to quickly drop off citizens with substance abuse or mental health barriers instead of making arrests. The state of Texas does have some laws that make it a crime if individuals fail to show ID at the request of a peace officer. This made it illegal for an adult to not have an ID in our jurisdiction. The San Antonio Police Department's homeless outreach team developed a way to reduce the ID recovery time from three months to less than 24 hours by capitalizing on the State Department Public Safety's fingerprinting system. Now SAPD takes ID recovery referrals from service providers to make sure that the homeless have rapid access to an ID.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1D-1. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area for which there is a discharge policy in place that is mandated by the State, the CoC, or another entity for the following institutions? Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

1D-2. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area with which the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure institutionalized persons that have resided in each system of care for longer than 90 days are not discharged into homelessness. Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

1D-2a. If the applicant did not check all boxes in 1D-2, explain why there is no coordination with the institution(s) that were not selected and explain how the CoC plans to coordinate with the institution(s) to ensure persons

**discharged are not discharged into homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)**

N/A

1E. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment (Coordinated Entry)

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The CoC Program Interim Rule requires CoCs to establish a Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System which HUD refers to as the Coordinated Entry Process. Based on the recent Coordinated Entry Policy Brief, HUD's primary goals for the coordinated entry process are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present for assistance.

**1E-1. Explain how the CoC's coordinated entry process is designed to identify, engage, and assist homeless individuals and families that will ensure those who request or need assistance are connected to proper housing and services.
(limit 1000 characters)**

The Coordinated Entry (CE) system was designed with the most vulnerable in mind. All persons experiencing homelessness are assessed using the VI-SPDAT which ensures that the people with the most critical needs and longest time homeless are first on the centralized waiting list. Projects participating in CE retrieve referrals in order of priority, and their success is measured by how quickly they move them into permanent housing.

Access sites to complete the CE assessment are spread throughout the community, with a “no wrong door” approach. Outreach teams from all of the homeless agencies coordinate to ensure adequate coverage of Bexar County and to strategize about finding those who are least likely to seek services. In addition, two special law enforcement units act as mobile hubs for CE, and conduct outreach in hard to reach or unsafe encampments to provide navigation services. SARAH is currently setting up mobile hubs at the downtown library and Bexar County Re-Entry Center.

1E-2. CoC Program and ESG Program funded projects are required to participate in the coordinated entry process, but there are many other organizations and individuals who may participate but are not required to do so. From the following list, for each type of organization or individual, select all of the applicable checkboxes that indicate how that organization or individual participates in the CoC's coordinated entry process. If there

are other organizations or persons who participate but are not on this list, enter the information in the blank text box, click "Save" at the bottom of the screen, and then select the applicable checkboxes.

Organization/Person Categories	Participate s in Ongoing Planning and Evaluation	Makes Referrals to the Coordinate d Entry Process	Receives Referrals from the Coordinate d Entry Process	Operates Access Point for Coordinate d Entry Process	Participate s in Case Conferenci ng	Does not Participate	Does not Exist
Local Government Staff/Officials	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CDBG/HOME/Entitlement Jurisdiction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law Enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Jail(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public Housing Authorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Organizations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Street Outreach Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Veteran's Affairs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Homeless Service Providers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Library System	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked for each row.

1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1F-1. For all renewal project applications submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition complete the chart below regarding the CoC's review of the Annual Performance Report(s).

How many renewal project applications were submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	18
How many of the renewal project applications are first time renewals for which the first operating year has not expired yet?	4
How many renewal project application APRs were reviewed by the CoC as part of the local CoC competition project review, ranking, and selection process for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	14
Percentage of APRs submitted by renewing projects within the CoC that were reviewed by the CoC in the 2016 CoC Competition?	100.00%

1F-2 - In the sections below, check the appropriate box(es) for each selection to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. Written documentation of the CoC's publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.

Performance outcomes from APR reports/HMIS:	
% permanent housing exit destinations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
% increases in income	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Monitoring criteria:	
Utilization rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Drawdown rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Frequency or Amount of Funds Recaptured by HUD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Need for specialized population services:

Youth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Victims of Domestic Violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Families with Children	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Veterans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the project applications when determining project application priority. (limit 1000 characters)

The San Antonio/Bexar County CoC considers the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants as part of the scoring and ranking of CoC-funded project applications. Points are awarded for serving a priority population, such as chronically homeless, substance abuse, youth, veterans, and families. In addition, the CoC required that all renewal CoC-funded providers used the VI-SPDAT in 2015 to determine vulnerability and prioritize clients for service. New and renewal PSH projects are required to follow Housing First principles, and accept participants without regard for substance use, criminal background, DV history, or income. The new applications were also awarded points if they served individuals coming from emergency shelters and directly from the streets.

Each new and renewal project is required to participate in coordinated entry and to accept, on a priority basis, persons who scored the highest on the VI-SPDAT indicating severity of service need and vulnerability.

1F-3. Describe how the CoC made the local competition review, ranking, and selection criteria publicly available, and identify the public medium(s) used and the date(s) of posting. Evidence of the public posting must be attached. (limit 750 characters)

SARAH started the competition process prior to the release of the 2016 NOFA. The application review, ranking, and selection process for new and renewal applications was posted on the SARAH website during that application process. In addition, this information was sent by email to all CoC members and partner email lists who serve similar clients. In order to comply with the NOFA guidelines, SARAH also reposted the local competition, ranking, and selection criteria on August 29th, 2016 (attached).

1F-4. On what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant publicly post all parts of the FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application that included the final project application ranking? (Written documentation of the public posting, with the date of the posting clearly visible, must be attached. In addition, evidence of communicating decisions to the CoC's full membership must be attached). 09/12/2016

1F-5. Did the CoC use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition to reduce or reject projects for the creation of new projects? (If the CoC utilized the reallocation process, evidence of the public posting of the reallocation process must be attached.) Yes

1F-5a. If the CoC rejected project application(s), on what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notify those project applicants that their project application was rejected? (If project applications were rejected, a copy of the written notification to each project applicant must be attached.) 08/12/2016

1F-6. In the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is the CoC's FY 2016 CoC's FY 2016 Priority Listing equal to or less than the ARD on the final HUD-approved FY2016 GIW? Yes

1G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Addressing Project Capacity

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1G-1. Describe how the CoC monitors the performance of CoC Program recipients. (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC monitors the drawdowns and bed utilization of all CoC-funded providers on a quarterly basis. There is also an annual review process that considers compliance with grant requirements, performance (utilization rates, length of time homeless, housing stability, permanent exits, income growth, etc.), as well as CoC participation. If a CoC-funded project is found to be deficient in performance or compliance, they are placed on a performance improvement plan that is approved and monitored by the SARAH Board.

SARAH also has a monitoring protocol that addresses compliance with regulatory issues (24 CFR 578) and fiscal management (2 CFR 200). SARAH has adopted a risk assessment methodology based on size of grant, prior findings, staff turnover, and timeliness of reporting submissions. All CoC-funded providers have completed a self-assessment using the developed tools through a Performance Committee, and an RFP will be released this year to conduct a CoC wellness check.

1G-2. Did the Collaborative Applicant include accurately completed and appropriately signed form HUD-2991(s) for all project applications submitted on the CoC Priority Listing? Yes

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

Intructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2A-1. Does the CoC have a Governance Charter that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and the HMIS Lead, either within the Charter itself or by reference to a separate document like an MOU/MOA? In all cases, the CoC's Governance Charter must be attached to receive credit, In addition, if applicable, any separate document, like an MOU/MOA, must also be attached to receive credit. Yes

2A-1a. Include the page number where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document referenced in 2A-1. In addition, in the textbox indicate if the page number applies to the CoC's attached governance charter or attached MOU/MOA. HMIS Procedures: Pg. 6-7, MOU: Pg. 1-2

2A-2. Does the CoC have a HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual? If yes, in order to receive credit the HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual must be attached to the CoC Application. Yes

2A-3. Are there agreements in place that outline roles and responsibilities between the HMIS Lead and the Contributing HMIS Organization (CHOs)? Yes

2A-4. What is the name of the HMIS software CaseWorthy

used by the CoC (e.g., ABC Software)?

2A-5. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor (e.g., ABC Systems)? CaseWorthy, Inc

2B. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Funding Sources

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2B-1. Select the HMIS implementation coverage area: Single CoC

*** 2B-2. In the charts below, enter the amount of funding from each funding source that contributes to the total HMIS budget for the CoC.**

2B-2.1 Funding Type: Federal - HUD

Funding Source	Funding
CoC	\$463,475
ESG	\$0
CDBG	\$0
HOME	\$0
HOPWA	\$0
Federal - HUD - Total Amount	\$463,475

2B-2.2 Funding Type: Other Federal

Funding Source	Funding
Department of Education	\$0
Department of Health and Human Services	\$0
Department of Labor	\$0
Department of Agriculture	\$0
Department of Veterans Affairs	\$0
Other Federal	\$0
Other Federal - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.3 Funding Type: State and Local

Funding Source	Funding
----------------	---------

City	\$0
County	\$0
State	\$0
State and Local - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.4 Funding Type: Private

Funding Source	Funding
Individual	\$0
Organization	\$115,869
Private - Total Amount	\$115,869

2B-2.5 Funding Type: Other

Funding Source	Funding
Participation Fees	\$0
Other - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.6 Total Budget for Operating Year	\$579,344
---	------------------

2C. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2C-1. Enter the date the CoC submitted the 2016 HIC data in HDX, (mm/dd/yyyy): 04/29/2016

2C-2. Per the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) Indicate the number of beds in the 2016 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type.

Project Type	Total Beds in 2016 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
Emergency Shelter (ESG) beds	1,453	114	1,324	98.88%
Safe Haven (SH) beds	22	0	22	100.00%
Transitional Housing (TH) beds	795	70	670	92.41%
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	349	0	349	100.00%
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	1,410	0	1,410	100.00%
Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	1,098	0	1,098	100.00%

2C-2a. If the bed coverage rate for any project type is below 85 percent, describe how the CoC plans to increase the bed coverage rate for each of these project types in the next 12 months. (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

2C-3. If any of the project types listed in question 2C-2 above have a coverage rate below 85 percent, and some or all of these rates can be attributed to beds covered by one of the following program types, please indicate that here by selecting all that apply from the list below.

VA Grant per diem (VA GPD):	<input type="checkbox"/>
VASH:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Faith-Based projects/Rescue mission:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Youth focused projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Voucher beds (non-permanent housing):	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOPWA projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

2C-4. How often does the CoC review or assess its HMIS bed coverage? Quarterly

2D. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2D-1. Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values and the percentage of "Client Doesn't Know" or "Client Refused" within the last 10 days of January 2016.

Universal Data Element	Percentage Null or Missing	Percentage Client Doesn't Know or Refused
3.1 Name	0%	0%
3.2 Social Security Number	0%	0%
3.3 Date of birth	0%	0%
3.4 Race	0%	0%
3.5 Ethnicity	0%	0%
3.6 Gender	0%	0%
3.7 Veteran status	0%	0%
3.8 Disabling condition	0%	0%
3.9 Residence prior to project entry	0%	0%
3.10 Project Entry Date	0%	0%
3.11 Project Exit Date	0%	0%
3.12 Destination	3%	4%
3.15 Relationship to Head of Household	0%	0%
3.16 Client Location	0%	0%
3.17 Length of time on street, in an emergency shelter, or safe haven	0%	0%

2D-2. Identify which of the following reports your HMIS generates. Select all that apply:

CoC Annual Performance Report (APR):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ESG Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) table shells:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
System Performance Measures, Point-In-Time Count, Housing Inventory Count, CSBG, HOPWA, PATH, SSVF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

2D-3. If you submitted the 2016 AHAR, how many AHAR tables (i.e., ES-ind, ES-family, etc) were accepted and used in the last AHAR? 12

2D-4. How frequently does the CoC review data quality in the HMIS? Monthly

2D-5. Select from the dropdown to indicate if standardized HMIS data quality reports are generated to review data quality at the CoC level, project level, or both. Both Project and CoC

2D-6. From the following list of federal partner programs, select the ones that are currently using the CoC's HMIS.

VA Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VA Grant and Per Diem (GPD):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2D-6a. If any of the Federal partner programs listed in 2D-6 are not currently entering data in the CoC's HMIS and intend to begin entering data in the next 12 months, indicate the Federal partner program and the anticipated start date. (limit 750 characters)

N/A

2E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The data collected during the PIT count is vital for both CoC's and HUD. HUD needs accurate data to understand the context and nature of homelessness throughout the country, and to provide Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) with information regarding services provided, gaps in service, and performance. Accurate, high quality data is vital to inform Congress' funding decisions.

2E-1. Did the CoC approve the final sheltered PIT count methodology for the 2016 sheltered PIT count? Yes

2E-2. Indicate the date of the most recent sheltered PIT count: (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/21/2016

2E-2a. If the CoC conducted the sheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, was an exception granted by HUD? No

2E-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the sheltered PIT count data in HDX: (mm/dd/yyyy) 04/29/2016

2F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2F-1. Indicate the method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons during the 2016 PIT count:

Complete Census Count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2F-2. Indicate the methods used to gather and calculate subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons:

HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interview of sheltered persons:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2F-3. Provide a brief description of your CoC's sheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected its sheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)

SARAH worked with all shelters in Bexar County to conduct a comprehensive count of homeless persons in shelter. Agencies attended a required training on how to use the HMIS bed check-in software. The check-in software produces a

Point-In-Time Count Report with all HUD HDX data fields. The report has a drill down feature that allowed the HMIS team and shelter staff to review all client level data for accuracy. Once all data was consolidated, the HMIS Team and SARAH conducted an additional spot check, and unduplicated the count using demographic data. SARAH also used a VA verified list of veterans and HMIS to audit the subpopulation counts. Using HMIS increases accuracy since the data is collected by a trained intake specialist, and then verified by program staff prior to submission.

All shelters also conducted a paper survey the night of the count to ensure all data needed for the Consolidated Plan was collected, and was used to further audit and verify the HMIS data.

2F-4. Describe any change in methodology from your sheltered PIT count in 2015 to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to the implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the PIT count). (limit 1000 characters)

There were no changes in methodology from the 2015 PIT count to the 2016 PIT count.

2F-5. Did your CoC change its provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count? No

2F-5a. If "Yes" in 2F-5, then describe the change in provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count. (limit 750 characters)

N/A

2G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2G-1. Indicate the methods used to ensure the quality of the data collected during the sheltered PIT count:

Training:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Follow-up:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-HMIS de-duplication techniques:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2G-2. Describe any change to the way your CoC implemented its sheltered PIT count from 2015 to 2016 that would change data quality, including changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the sheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to actual sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation methods). (limit 1000 characters)

The shelter survey was refined this year so that all data elements for HUD HDX were listed first and highlighted. This ensured that the required data from HUD was not missed or skipped during an interview. The results of the surveys were then compared to the HMIS data for people in shelter to increase quality.

2H. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD requires CoCs to conduct an unsheltered PIT count every 2 years (biennially) during the last 10 days in January; however, HUD also strongly encourages CoCs to conduct the unsheltered PIT count annually at the same time that they conduct annual sheltered PIT counts. HUD required CoCs to conduct the last biennial PIT count during the last 10 days in January 2015.

2H-1. Did the CoC approve the final unsheltered PIT count methodology for the most recent unsheltered PIT count? Yes

2H-2. Indicate the date of the most recent unsheltered PIT count (mm/dd/yyyy): 01/21/2016

2H-2a. If the CoC conducted the unsheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, or most recent count, was an exception granted by HUD? No

2H-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the unsheltered PIT count data in HDX (mm/dd/yyyy): 04/29/2016

2I. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2I-1. Indicate the methods used to count unsheltered homeless persons during the 2016 or most recent PIT count:

Night of the count - complete census:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - known locations:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - random sample:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service-based count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2I-2. Provide a brief description of your CoC's unsheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected this unsheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)

Unsheltered individuals are counted and surveyed through street outreach and area meal programs in the community. Volunteers are given maps with marked known locations to assist with finding people, especially outside of city limits. In addition, San Antonio/Bexar County has an outdoor sleeping area specifically for homeless persons to have safe sleeping called Prospect's Courtyard that is considered an unsheltered space by HUD. Individuals scan into the courtyard with a badge, which is then used to determine the count and retrieve demographics. This is the same methodology that was used successfully for the 2015 PIT Count. This methodology was chosen because the scanning system is tied to HMIS, thus increasing the accuracy of our data for the unsheltered homeless population and reducing the occurrence of counting unsheltered homeless more than once. SARAH also coordinates with schools and youth providers to advertise an event for children where surveys are conducted.

2I-3. Describe any change in methodology from your unsheltered PIT count in 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the count). (limit 1000 characters)

The same methodology used in 2015 was used again in 2016.

2I-4. Has the CoC taken extra measures to identify unaccompanied homeless youth in the PIT count? Yes

2I-4a. If the response in 2I-4 was "no" describe any extra measures that are being taken to identify youth and what the CoC is doing for homeless youth. (limit 1000 characters)

2J. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2J-1. Indicate the steps taken by the CoC to ensure the quality of the data collected for the 2016 unsheltered PIT count:

Training:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
"Blitz" count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unique identifier:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Survey questions:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Enumerator observation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2J-2. Describe any change to the way the CoC implemented the unsheltered PIT count from 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016 that would affect data quality. This includes changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the unsheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes in actual methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation method). (limit 1000 characters)

SARAH had a record number of volunteers this year (over 600) who were all trained on survey techniques and safety. San Antonio/Bexar County saw a decrease in the unsheltered homeless count even with the added volunteers surveying each area. In addition, the survey was refined this year so that all data elements for HUD HDX were listed first and highlighted. This ensured that the required data from HUD was not missed or skipped during an interview.

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

3A-1. Performance Measure: Number of Persons Homeless - Point-in-Time Count.

* 3A-1a. Change in PIT Counts of Sheltered and Unsheltered Homeless Persons

Using the table below, indicate the number of persons who were homeless at a Point-in-Time (PIT) based on the 2015 and 2016 PIT counts as recorded in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX).

	2015 PIT (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016 PIT	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	2,891	2,781	-110
Emergency Shelter Total	1,063	982	-81
Safe Haven Total	41	17	-24
Transitional Housing Total	629	645	16
Total Sheltered Count	1,733	1,644	-89
Total Unsheltered Count	1,158	1,137	-21

3A-1b. Number of Sheltered Persons Homeless - HMIS.

Using HMIS data, enter the number of homeless persons who were served in a sheltered environment between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015 for each category provided.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	6,305
Emergency Shelter Total	4,963
Safe Haven Total	108
Transitional Housing Total	1,552

3A-2. Performance Measure: First Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the number of individuals and families who become homeless for the first time. Specifically, describe what the CoC is doing to identify risk factors of becoming homeless.

(limit 1000 characters)

The CoC is working to reduce the number of people that become homeless for the first time. By studying the five-year consolidated plans published by Bexar County and the City of San Antonio, we know how many households in Bexar County have housing risk factors, and can concentrate on those that struggle with more than one factor at the same time (ex. poverty and a disability). Over 50,335 households in San Antonio have two or more factors present at one time. This establishes a rate of just over 10% who suffer a co-occurrence of risk factors and will become homeless.

The CoC has focused on diversion as a key strategy to reduce the inflow of newly homeless people into Coordinated Entry (CE). The CoC invested in training with an expert from the Cleveland Mediation Center that has been recognized for their diversion work nationwide. In 2016, intake specialists, CE assessors, and managers participated in this training. Diversion is an initial step in the CE workflow in HMIS.

3A-3. Performance Measure: Length of Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC’s efforts to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. Specifically, describe how your CoC has reduced the average length of time homeless, including how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless.

(limit 1000 characters)

SARAH works continuously to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. In Coordinated Entry (CE), people experiencing chronic homelessness are prioritized for housing, with additional priority points awarded for a length of time longer than one year. This ensures that the most vulnerable and difficult to serve in our community are at the front of the line for limited housing and services. All CoC and ESG-funded projects participate in HMIS, and the CE workflow, waitlist, and referral process is built in. SARAH monitors bed utilization rates monthly, and is working on quarterly system performance reports to track length of time homeless.

In addition, Prospect’s Courtyard, which acts as a front door for 85% of all newly homeless people, was recently infused with permanent housing programs so clients can move directly from the streets to housing without the need to self-navigate. This has doubled placements of the unsheltered to higher streams of care.

*** 3A-4. Performance Measure: Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention.**

In the next two questions, CoCs must indicate the success of its projects in placing persons from its projects into permanent housing.

3A-4a. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations:
Fill in the chart to indicate the extent to which projects exit program participants into permanent housing (subsidized or non-subsidized) or the retention of program participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in SSO, TH and PH-RRH who exited	621
Of the persons in the Universe above, how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	436
% Successful Exits	70.21%

3A-4b. Exit To or Retention Of Permanent Housing:
In the chart below, CoCs must indicate the number of persons who exited from any CoC funded permanent housing project, except rapid re-housing projects, to permanent housing destinations or retained their permanent housing between October 1, 2014 and September 31, 2015.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	688
Of the persons in the Universe above, indicate how many of those remained in applicable PH projects and how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	674
% Successful Retentions/Exits	97.97%

3A-5. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness: Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce the rate of individuals and families who return to homelessness. Specifically, describe strategies your CoC has implemented to identify and minimize returns to homelessness, and demonstrate the use of HMIS or a comparable database to monitor and record returns to homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Our CoC is always working to identify and minimize returns to homelessness. In Coordinated Entry, our procedures do not permit agencies to discharge people into homelessness without attending a case conferencing session to discuss options for the client. Since Coordinated Entry is built into HMIS, we can monitor all program exits by reviewing housing disposition data. An extra incentive for agencies is the annual CoC competition, since points are deducted from agencies where clients exit to homelessness or recidivate after being permanently housed. We discuss program-level recidivism from a performance standpoint at our monthly SARAH Performance Committee Meetings. For 2014-2015, our recidivism rate was approximately 22% (including non-HUD programs), but we have already seen this percentage trend downward as a result of our Coordinated Entry and Performance monitoring.

3A-6. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Describe the CoC's

**specific strategies to assist CoC Program-funded projects to increase program participants' cash income from employment and non-employment non-cash sources.
(limit 1000 characters)**

SARAH, the CoC in San Antonio/Bexar County, maintains a comprehensive resource guide for people experiencing homelessness that includes employment/benefits information that service providers and outreach workers can share with clients. Additionally, the CoC successfully implemented Coordinated Entry (CE). SARAH, with the goal of increasing access to employment and benefits, will build on the CE platform this year by creating an assessment and referral system for employment services in our community. This will include employment services provided by homeless service providers as well as mainstream employment providers. Additionally, to assist projects with implementing strategies to help clients, SARAH will integrate SOAR into the CE system for chronically homeless individuals to connect clients directly with benefits. All of the key components for a successful assessment/referral system to access employment and benefits have already been built into our HMIS software.

**3A-6a. Describe how the CoC is working with mainstream employment organizations to aid homeless individuals and families in increasing their income.
(limit 1000 characters)**

In an effort to increase access to employment opportunities, SARAH is working with Alamo Workforce Solutions, Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Goodwill Industries, and Dress for Success to increase income for people experiencing homelessness. This year, TWC made their supportive employment grants available to homeless service providers with great success. For the first time, two service providers in San Antonio/Bexar County received TWC grants and made employment services available to the unsheltered individuals in our community. Additionally, the American GI Forum – NVOP provided employment opportunities through special government contracts. These contracts were specifically awarded to homeless veterans with disabilities. In the coming year, SARAH, the CoC, will integrate mainstream employment services into the Coordinated Entry platform to further increase access for the entire homeless population. SARAH currently maintains a comprehensive employment resource guide.

**3A-7. What was the the criteria and decision-making process the CoC used to identify and exclude specific geographic areas from the CoC's unsheltered PIT count?
(limit 1000 characters)**

No areas were excluded from the unsheltered PIT Count. The entire county is divided up into quadrants, with smaller quadrants in the denser areas near downtown. Volunteer teams are assigned to a quadrant. Outreach teams from service agencies identify homeless encampments or frequent hot spots in advance that are marked on the quadrant maps the night of the PIT Count. SARAH works closely with the San Antonio Police Department to make sure that homeless encampments are not broken up within two months of the PIT Count so that we can find our unsheltered homeless and have an accurate

count. Maps with the most sightings are distributed to teams first to ensure coverage of those areas. Volunteer teams carry hygiene kits and information about homeless service programs. If someone living on the street being surveyed was seeking shelter or housing, a team was contacted to pick that individual up and connect them right away.

3A-7a. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. disasters)? No

**3A-7b. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. deserts, wilderness, etc.)?
(limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC does not exclude specific areas from the unsheltered PIT count.

**3A-8. Enter the date the CoC submitted the system performance measure data into HDX. The System Performance Report generated by HDX must be attached.
(mm/dd/yyyy)** 08/11/2016

**3A-8a. If the CoC was unable to submit their System Performance Measures data to HUD via the HDX by the deadline, explain why and describe what specific steps they are taking to ensure they meet the next HDX submission deadline for System Performance Measures data.
(limit 1500 characters)**

N/A

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 1: Ending Chronic Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

To end chronic homelessness by 2017, HUD encourages three areas of focus through the implementation of Notice CPD 14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status.

1. Targeting persons with the highest needs and longest histories of homelessness for existing and new permanent supportive housing;
2. Prioritizing chronically homeless individuals, youth and families who have the longest histories of homelessness; and
3. The highest needs for new and turnover units.

3B-1.1. Compare the total number of chronically homeless persons, which includes persons in families, in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered chronically homeless persons	691	521	-170
Sheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	351	217	-134
Unsheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	340	304	-36

3B-1.1a. Using the "Differences" calculated in question 3B-1.1 above, explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the overall TOTAL number of chronically homeless persons in the CoC, as well as the change in the unsheltered count, as reported in the PIT count in 2016 compared to 2015. (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

3B-1.2. Compare the total number of PSH beds (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count, as compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count.

	2015	2016	Difference
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC.	142	260	118

3B-1.2a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of PSH beds (CoC program funded or non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count. (limit 1000 characters)

There was an increase in Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds dedicated for chronically homeless persons in the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC). The additional beds included a PSH program at SAMMinistries, VASH at the Housing Authority of Bexar County, and two PSH programs at Family Endeavors. An additional 116 PSH beds specifically dedicated to chronically homeless were created in the 2015 funding competition through reallocation. These units could not be included in the 2016 HIC since the contract had not been executed yet, but they will be part of our 2017 HIC. This new program, run by the Center for Healthcare Services, our local Mental Health Authority, will accept all referrals in order of priority through coordinated entry. SARA is also creating additional PSH units for the chronically homeless in this year's application by reallocating funds from SAMMinistries and Salvation Army transitional housing projects.

3B-1.3. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their standards for all CoC Program funded PSH as described in Notice CPD-14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status? Yes

3B-1.3a. If "Yes" was selected for question 3B-1.3, attach a copy of the CoC's written standards or other evidence that clearly shows the incorporation of the Orders of Priority in Notice CPD 14-012 and indicate the page(s) for all documents where the Orders of Priority are found. Page 34

3B-1.4. Is the CoC on track to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by 2017? Yes

This question will not be scored.

3B-1.4a. If the response to question 3B-1.4 was “Yes” what are the strategies that have been implemented by the CoC to maximize current resources to meet this goal? If “No” was selected, what resources or technical assistance will be implemented by the CoC to reach to goal of ending chronically homelessness by 2017? (limit 1000 characters)

SARAH intends to end chronic homelessness by 2017. Our community has met federal benchmarks to effectively end veteran homelessness, and has lessons learned from that challenge to continue efforts to end all homelessness. Coordinated Entry (CE) is now online, and chronically homeless people are prioritized for PSH by vulnerability, age, and time experiencing homelessness. All CoC and ESG funded housing resources are required to participate in CE, and other housing resources have opted in to participating and accepting referrals from the central wait list. SARAH has also supported the reallocation of several projects for new PSH. SARAH is hiring CSH to do a comprehensive gap analysis in our community to determine the exact number of units needed to meet and sustain the needs for the remaining chronically homeless population. All transitional housing renewals in our application have committed to a conversion analysis from CSH to see if they can convert their units to PSH by 2017.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD will evaluate CoC's based on the extent to which they are making progress to achieve the goal of ending homelessness among households with children by 2020.

3B-2.1. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize households with children during the FY2016 Operating year? (Check all that apply).

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Number of previous homeless episodes:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Criminal History:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Bad credit or rental history (including not having been a leaseholder):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Head of household has mental/physical disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Child in household has mental/physical disabilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Chronically homeless families with children	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.2. Describe the CoC's strategies including concrete steps to rapidly rehouse every household with children within 30 days of those families becoming homeless. (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC took multiple steps this year to reduce the length of time families experience homelessness. The establishment of coordinated entry was vital for families, and all projects taking referrals from coordinated entry from the priority list must enroll them within 30 days of retrieval from the list.

The CoC also reallocated transitional housing programs to permanent housing projects and maximized ESG-funded Rapid Rehousing (RRH) programs. The CoC uses the Family VI-SPDAT version 2.0 to assess the severity of needs and to determine a range of housing interventions for each family. Families eligible for RRH are prioritized according to the VI-SPDAT score and length time of homeless. The CoC is using reallocation again this year to convert TH into permanent housing projects. Additionally, ESG funding was moved from other non-housing programs and allocated toward RRH.

3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve families in the HIC:	47	61	14

3B-2.4. How does the CoC ensure that emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) providers within the CoC do not deny admission to or separate any family members from other members of their family based on age, sex, gender or disability when entering shelter or housing? (check all strategies that apply)

CoC policies and procedures prohibit involuntary family separation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There is a method for clients to alert CoC when involuntarily separated:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CoC holds trainings on preventing involuntary family separation, at least once a year:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.5. Compare the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

PIT Count of Homelessness Among Households With Children

	2015 (for unsheltered count,		
FY2016 CoC Application		Page 43	09/13/2016

	most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless households with children:	264	235	-29
Sheltered Count of homeless households with children:	256	226	-30
Unsheltered Count of homeless households with children:	8	9	1

3B-2.5a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

3B-2.6. From the list below select the strategies to the CoC uses to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24, including the following.

Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation?	Yes
LGBTQ youth homelessness?	Yes
Exits from foster care into homelessness?	Yes
Family reunification and community engagement?	Yes
Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs?	Yes
Unaccompanied minors/youth below the age of 18?	Yes

3B-2.6a. Select all strategies that the CoC uses to address homeless youth trafficking and other forms of exploitation.

Diversion from institutions and decriminalization of youth actions that stem from being trafficked:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increase housing and service options for youth fleeing or attempting to flee trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Specific sampling methodology for enumerating and characterizing local youth trafficking:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cross systems strategies to quickly identify and prevent occurrences of youth trafficking:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community awareness training concerning youth trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
County DA Task Force on Human Trafficking	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.7. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 for housing and services during the FY 2016 operating year? (Check all that apply)

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Length of time homeless:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Lack of access to family and community support networks:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.8. Using HMIS, compare all unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2014 (October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014) and FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015).

	FY 2014 (October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014)	FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015)	Difference
Total number of unaccompanied youth served in HMIS contributing programs who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry:	247	337	90

3B-2.8a. If the number of unaccompanied youth and children, and youth-headed households with children served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2015 is lower than FY 2014 explain why. (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

3B-2.9. Compare funding for youth homelessness in the CoC's geographic area in CY 2016 and CY 2017.

	Calendar Year 2016	Calendar Year 2017	Difference
--	--------------------	--------------------	------------

Overall funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded):	\$598,352.00	\$879,000.00	\$280,648.00
CoC Program funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Non-CoC funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (e.g. RHY or other Federal, State and Local funding):	\$598,352.00	\$879,000.00	\$280,648.00

3B-2.10. To what extent have youth services and educational representatives, and CoC representatives participated in each other's meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016?

Cross-Participation in Meetings	# Times
CoC meetings or planning events attended by LEA or SEA representatives:	10
LEA or SEA meetings or planning events (e.g. those about child welfare, juvenile justice or out of school time) attended by CoC representatives:	5
CoC meetings or planning events attended by youth housing and service providers (e.g. RHY providers):	4

3B-2.10a. Based on the responses in 3B-2.10, describe in detail how the CoC collaborates with the McKinney-Vento local educational authorities and school districts. (limit 1000 characters)

The Homeless liaison from the San Antonio Independent School District is a member of the Board of Directors of the CoC and involved in all CoC strategic activities. She coordinates CoC activities with the other 16 County School District Homeless liaisons and the Texas Education Agency. CoC staff attends the Unaccompanied Youth Task Force meetings which includes not only school districts but juvenile probation representatives, the County Health Collaborative and other youth service providers. The Unaccompanied Youth Task Force sponsored the PIT Youth Count with support from the CoC. CoC staff attended the Texas Education Agency homeless youth session at the 2015 Texas Homeless Network Fall Conference. The CoC staff are establishing a Coordinated Entry hub at the Downtown Library to service homeless youth. The CoC staff has established relationships with the County Reentry Services and Juvenile Justice system to address youth homeless issues in a collaborative fashion.

3B-2.11. How does the CoC make sure that homeless individuals and families who become homeless are informed of their eligibility for and receive access to educational services? Include the policies and procedures that homeless service providers (CoC and ESG Programs) are required to follow. (limit 2000 characters)

It is the policy of the San Antonio/Bexar County CoC that all youth eligible for educational services receiving services from any CoC and/or ESG funded programs be made aware of educational programs and are assisted in

accessing any educational services for which they are eligible. All providers who serve youth and children must identify those that are eligible for educational services, inform them of their eligibility and ensure that all children are enrolled in education programs. All programs that serve children or youth must collaborate with the McKinney-Vento liaison and contact the liaison whenever an eligible child or youth enters the program. All ESG and CoC providers are required to provide written materials to families with children regarding their rights to educational services. See the attached San Antonio Independent School District policy (Attachment 14).

**3B-2.12. Does the CoC or any HUD-funded projects within the CoC have any written agreements with a program that services infants, toddlers, and youth children, such as Head Start; Child Care and Development Fund; Healthy Start; Maternal, Infant, Early Childhood Home Visiting programs; Public Pre-K; and others?
(limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC has a city government agency that is contracted to provide childcare for pre-school children for families experiencing homelessness. CCDS is operated by the City of San Antonio but open to all families in the CoC's jurisdiction. CCDS provides childcare vouchers in less than three business days from the time a family is enrolled into the program. The only requirements are that families be in a homeless program and that the family can show proof of employment or education enrollment within 30 days of the program. When the family's homelessness is resolved, the Seamless voucher is converted into a locally-funded voucher program. Also of note- the YMCA provides free childcare to homeless families with pre-school children. This YMCA serves over 200 children and is co-located with the CoC's 2nd largest service provider although they do serve homeless families in other programs. The YMCA program has imbedded staff and programming part of a locally funded Head Start initiative.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 3: Ending Veterans Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

Opening Doors outlines the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. The following questions focus on the various strategies that will aid communities in meeting this goal.

3B-3.1. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	284	263	-21
Sheltered count of homeless veterans:	190	164	-26
Unsheltered count of homeless veterans:	94	99	5

3B-3.1a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless veterans in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

3B-3.2. Describe how the CoC identifies, assesses, and refers homeless veterans who are eligible for Veterean's Affairs services and housing to appropriate reources such as HUD-VASH and SSVF. (limit 1000 characters)

All newly homeless veterans seeking services go through a coordinated entry common assessment. This includes veterans seeking services from the outdoor sleeping area, Prospect's Courtyard, where the majority of new clients are

assessed. Our local coordinated entry system can refer out to the two SSVF providers in our community (American GI Forum and Family Endeavors) based on prioritization. Referrals to the HUD VASH program are not currently facilitated through coordinated entry because of data sharing concerns between the VA and CoC, however the referrals are still made based on vulnerability and prioritized in CE. This is done by the CoC's Coordinated Entry Program Manager at a bi-weekly workgroup meeting between homeless service providers and the VA.

3B-3.3. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC and the total number of unsheltered homeless Veterans in the CoC, as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT Count compared to the 2010 PIT Count (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010).

	2010 (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010)	2016	% Difference
Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	668	263	-60.63%
Unsheltered Count of homeless veterans:	397	99	-75.06%

3B-3.4. Indicate from the dropdown whether you are on target to end Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. Yes

This question will not be scored.

3B-3.4a. If "Yes", what are the strategies being used to maximize your current resources to meet this goal? If "No" what resources or technical assistance would help you reach the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016? (limit 1000 characters)

San Antonio met federal criteria to effectively end veteran homelessness in March of 2016. Inflow management is addressed with diversion practices, including conflict management, to provide alternatives to homelessness. Our local VA now automatically verifies every new client to ensure access to their services. Coordinated entry ensures that high need vets are matched to the right program to meet their needs. Within the CoC, all CoC and ESG-funded services are now exclusively managed through coordinated entry with a common assessment tool to prioritize everybody seeking services, with added points for veterans. The assessment is built directly into our local HMIS where all service providers have immediate access to the information and a ready source of program referrals. CSH has provided technical assistance that allowed us to build quality by-name lists, information dashboards, and effective coordinated entry standards.

4A. Accessing Mainstream Benefits

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4A-1. Does the CoC systematically provide information to provider staff about mainstream benefits, including up-to-date resources on eligibility and program changes that can affect homeless clients? Yes

4A-2. Based on the CoC's FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of projects have demonstrated they are assisting project participants to obtain mainstream benefits? This includes all of the following within each project: transportation assistance, use of a single application, annual follow-ups with participants, and SOAR-trained staff technical assistance to obtain SSI/SSDI?

FY 2016 Assistance with Mainstream Benefits

Total number of project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	22
Total number of renewal and new project applications that demonstrate assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits (i.e. In a Renewal Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 2a, 2b and 2c on Screen 4A. In a New Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 5a, 5b, 5c, 6, and 6a on Screen 4A).	22
Percentage of renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that have demonstrated assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits:	100%

4A-3. List the organizations (public, private, non-profit and other) that you collaborate with to facilitate health insurance enrollment, (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, Affordable Care Act options) for program participants. For each organization you partner with, detail the specific outcomes resulting from the partnership in the establishment of benefits. (limit 1000 characters)

SARAH collaborates with several organizations on healthcare initiatives. Recently, the Center for Healthcare Services (CHCS), partnered with Alamo Area Council of Governments (AACOG), to facilitate AACOG-certified health navigators to perform screenings for affordable healthcare plans for the unsheltered homeless population in Bexar County. CHCS runs an outdoor safe sleeping area called Prospect's Courtyard that sleeps between 500 and 700 people a night. This outdoor sleeping area gives the CoC ample opportunities to engage the unsheltered homeless in services. SARAH is currently working

with a group called Healthcare Access San Antonio (HASA) on a data sharing project to further facilitate coordination between hospitals and homeless service providers. SARA's Board Vice President is also a Senior VP of Methodist Healthcare Ministries, the largest hospital system in San Antonio, with plans to expand access to health insurance and other healthcare needs through Coordinated Entry.

4A-4. What are the primary ways the CoC ensures that program participants with health insurance are able to effectively utilize the healthcare benefits available to them?

Educational materials:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
In-Person Trainings:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Transportation to medical appointments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable or None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

4B. Additional Policies

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4B-1. Based on the CoCs FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), and SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) projects in the CoC are low barrier?

FY 2016 Low Barrier Designation

Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	22
Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications that selected "low barrier" in the FY 2016 competition:	21
Percentage of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as "low barrier":	95%

4B-2. What percentage of CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) and Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2016 Projects have adopted a Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements?

FY 2016 Projects Housing First Designation

Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	22
Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications that selected Housing First in the FY 2016 competition:	21
Percentage of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as Housing First:	95%

4B-3. What has the CoC done to ensure awareness of and access to housing and supportive services within the CoC's geographic area to persons that could benefit from CoC-funded programs but are not currently participating in a CoC funded program? In particular, how does the CoC reach out to for persons that are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

Direct outreach and marketing:	<input style="width: 30px; height: 20px; border: 1px solid black;" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------------	--

Use of phone or internet-based services like 211:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Marketing in languages commonly spoken in the community:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Making physical and virtual locations accessible to those with disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SARAH convened special training to educate 88 community members on fair housing practices and coordinated entry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

4B-4. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve populations from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve all populations in the HIC:	76	198	122

4B-5. Are any new proposed project applications requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction? No

4B-6. If "Yes" in Questions 4B-5, then describe the activities that the project(s) will undertake to ensure that employment, training and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons to comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u) (Section 3) and HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 135? (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

4B-7. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes? No

4B-7a. If "Yes", to question 4B-7, describe how the use of grant funds to serve such persons is of equal or greater priority than serving persons

defined as homeless in accordance with 24 CFR 578.89. Description must include whether or not this is listed as a priority in the Consolidated Plan(s) and its CoC strategic plan goals. CoCs must attach the list of projects that would be serving this population (up to 10 percent of CoC total award) and the applicable portions of the Consolidated Plan. (limit 2500 characters)

N/A

4B-8. Has the project been affected by a major disaster, as declared by the President Obama under Title IV of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended (Public Law 93-288) in the 12 months prior to the opening of the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition? No

4B-8a. If "Yes" in Question 4B-8, describe the impact of the natural disaster on specific projects in the CoC and how this affected the CoC's ability to address homelessness and provide the necessary reporting to HUD. (limit 1500 characters)

N/A

4B-9. Did the CoC or any of its CoC program recipients/subrecipients request technical assistance from HUD since the submission of the FY 2015 application? This response does not affect the scoring of this application. No

4B-9a. If "Yes" to Question 4B-9, check the box(es) for which technical assistance was requested.

This response does not affect the scoring of this application.

CoC Governance:	<input type="checkbox"/>
CoC Systems Performance Measurement:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Coordinated Entry:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data reporting and data analysis:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Homeless subpopulations targeted by Opening Doors: veterans, chronic, children and families, and unaccompanied youth:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maximizing the use of mainstream resources:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Retooling transitional housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rapid re-housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under-performing program recipient, subrecipient or project:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4B-9b. Indicate the type(s) of Technical Assistance that was provided, using the categories listed in 4B-9a, provide the month and year the CoC Program recipient or sub-recipient received the assistance and the value of the Technical Assistance to the CoC/recipient/sub recipient involved given the local conditions at the time, with 5 being the highest value and a 1 indicating no value.

Type of Technical Assistance Received	Date Received	Rate the Value of the Technical Assistance

4C. Attachments

Instructions:

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site:
<https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource>

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Evidence of the CoC's communication to rejected participants	Yes	2016 CoC Consolid...	09/13/2016
02. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Public Posting Evidence	Yes	2016 CoC's Consol...	09/13/2016
03. CoC Rating and Review Procedure (e.g. RFP)	Yes	CoC's Rating and ...	09/13/2016
04. CoC's Rating and Review Procedure: Public Posting Evidence	Yes	CoC's Rating and ...	09/13/2016
05. CoCs Process for Reallocating	Yes	CoC's Process for...	09/13/2016
06. CoC's Governance Charter	Yes	CoC's Governance ...	09/13/2016
07. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual	Yes	HMIS Policy and P...	09/13/2016
08. Applicable Sections of Con Plan to Serving Persons Defined as Homeless Under Other Fed Statutes	No		
09. PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)	Yes	PHA Administratio...	09/13/2016
10. CoC-HMIS MOU (if referenced in the CoC's Governance Charter)	No	CoC-HMIS MOU	09/13/2016
11. CoC Written Standards for Order of Priority	No	COC Written Stand...	09/13/2016
12. Project List to Serve Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable)	No		
13. HDX-system Performance Measures	Yes	HDX-system Perfor...	09/13/2016
14. Other	No	FDC(LLEGAL) AISD M...	09/13/2016
15. Other	No	Point in Time Tim...	09/13/2016

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 CoC Consolidated Application Evidence of the CoC's acceptance of all applications

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 CoC's Consolidated Application Public Posting Evidence

Attachment Details

Document Description: CoC's Rating and Review Procedure

Attachment Details

Document Description: CoC's Rating and Review Procedure Public Posting Evidence

Attachment Details

Document Description: CoC's Process for Reallocating

Attachment Details

Document Description: CoC's Governance Charter

Attachment Details

Document Description: HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)

Attachment Details

Document Description: CoC-HMIS MOU

Attachment Details

Document Description: COC Written Standards for Order of Priority

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: HDX-system Performance Measures

Attachment Details

Document Description: FDC(LEGAL) AISD McKenny-Vento Policy

Attachment Details

Document Description: Point in Time Timing Justification

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. Identification	09/12/2016
1B. CoC Engagement	09/13/2016
1C. Coordination	09/13/2016
FY2016 CoC Application	Page 60
	09/13/2016

1D. CoC Discharge Planning	09/09/2016
1E. Coordinated Assessment	09/09/2016
1F. Project Review	09/13/2016
1G. Addressing Project Capacity	09/09/2016
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/13/2016
2B. HMIS Funding Sources	09/12/2016
2C. HMIS Beds	09/12/2016
2D. HMIS Data Quality	09/09/2016
2E. Sheltered PIT	09/09/2016
2F. Sheltered Data - Methods	09/09/2016
2G. Sheltered Data - Quality	09/13/2016
2H. Unsheltered PIT	09/09/2016
2I. Unsheltered Data - Methods	09/13/2016
2J. Unsheltered Data - Quality	09/13/2016
3A. System Performance	09/13/2016
3B. Objective 1	09/09/2016
3B. Objective 2	09/13/2016
3B. Objective 3	09/13/2016
4A. Benefits	09/09/2016
4B. Additional Policies	09/09/2016
4C. Attachments	09/13/2016
Submission Summary	No Input Required