

2014 APR Contract/Application Data									
Renewal Performance Evaluation Criteria	Unit Type	Source	Proposed Benchmark/ Standard			Comments	Points	Result - Number	Result - Percentage
PERFORMANCE MEASURE		APR, HMIS Data, Project Application	PSH	RRH	TH				
2. <u>All Projects</u> : Percentage of all Adult Leavers Who Maintained or Increased OVERALL Income (from all sources) from Entry to Exit	HoH Only - Leavers	2014 APR - Submit Close-Out APR	> 40%	> 60%	> 80%	"Maintained Income" Cannot be Zero at Entry and Exit	10		
3. <u>All Projects</u> : Spending of last year's HUD grant	LOCCS Drawdowns	2014 APR - Request from HUD	100% = 10; 97-99% = 5			96% and Below Receive No Points	10		
6. <u>RRH &amp; TH Projects</u> : Percentage of All Adult Leavers Who Gained or Increased EARNED Income from Entry to Exit	HoH Only - Leavers	2014 APR - Submit Close-Out APR	N/A				5		
7. <u>PSH &amp; TH Projects</u> : Occupancy/Utilization - Average of 4 Reported PIT Counts	Average Point-In-Time Count	2014 APR	95-105% = 10 Points, 90-94% = 5 Points			Family Projects: Only Count Households	10		
<b>COMPLIANCE</b>									
11. Match Meets or Exceeds Requirement	N/A	2014 APR	100%				5		
12. Monitoring - HUD Findings	N/A	Provider Report	No Findings in 2014 or 2015 Contract Period				5		
13. HUD Drawdown every 90 days	LOCCS Drawdowns	Provider Report	<91 days				10		
<b>BONUS POINTS</b>									
<u>TH Projects</u> : Target Population is Substance Abuse, Youth, or Domestic Violence	All Applicants	Project Application	Yes/No				10		
<u>PSH Projects</u> : Target Population is Chronically Homeless	All Applicants	Project Application	Yes/No				10		
<u>RRH Projects</u> : Target Population is Chronically Homeless, Families, Youth, or Veterans	All Applicants	Project Application	Yes/No				10		
Attended the FY 2015-2016 Monthly SARAH Board or Membership Meetings	All Applicants	Attendance	Attended at least 8 Meetings (Including Board or Membership Meetings)				5		

## SARAH 2016 IRT Scoring Tool - Renewal Projects

<i>Scoring</i>																				
Criteria	Maximum Points																			
<b>Performance Evaluation Standards Tool</b>	<b>115</b>																			
Performance Measures	70																			
Compliance Measures	20																			
HMIS Participation	10																			
Bonus Points	15																			
<b>Renewal Application</b>	<b>25</b>																			
Cost Per Client	10																			
Project Overview	10																			
150% Leverage	5																			
<b>Questions</b>	<b>10</b>																			
Quality of Response to Questions	5																			
Addresses Concerns	5																			
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>150</b>																			

<b>Completed Application (Y/N)</b>																				
------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**IRT Member's Name** \_\_\_\_\_ **IRT Member's Signature** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Date** \_\_\_\_\_

## 2016 SARAH COC NEW Permanent Housing Scoring Guide

Agency Name:	IRT Member:
Project Name:	Project Type: <input type="checkbox"/> PSH <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid Rehousing

PROJECT INFORMATION (6 pts)	SCORING GUIDE	SCORE
7. How many clients are they proposing to serve in the project?	N/A	
8. For PSH ONLY – is the project serving all chronically homeless	2 pts if Yes	
For RRH ONLY – is the project serving homeless individuals and families, unaccompanied youth, or victims of domestic violence	2pts if Yes	
In addition to the PSH and RRH required populations, is the project further targeting one of HUD’s target populations?	2 pts if Yes	
9. Funds Applying For: <input type="checkbox"/> Reallocation <input type="checkbox"/> COC PPRN <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent Housing Bonus	(50 point bonus for Reallocation)	
	Subtotal: Project Information	

EXPERIENCE OF APPLICANT (12 points)		
10. A. Describes experience using federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application	Up to 4 points if demonstrates experience	
10. B. Describes experience in leveraging other Federal, state, and private funds	Up to 4 points if demonstrates experience	
10. C. Describes organization and management structure of applicant and subrecipients, including adequate financial accounting	Up to 4 points if clearly outlines mgt structure and financial experience	
<b>PROJECT THRESHOLD</b>		
11. Has the project been monitored by HUD within the last two years?	N/A	
	Subtotal: Experience of Applicant	

PROJECT OVERVIEW (24 pts)	SCORING GUIDE	SCORE
12. Provides a project that outlines the core components of the program, including: target population, plan for addressing identified needs, projected outcomes, and coordination with other source(s)/partner(s)	Up to 6 Pts. For clear concise summary of project	
13. Project schedule outlined	Up to 3 pts for clear schedule	
14. Project is Housing First	3 pts if housing first	
15. Participants moved in within 6 mos of project implementation OR within 30 days of identification	3 pts if meet guidelines	
16. Screening policies	3pt if first 4 checked	
17. Termination policies	3 pt if all 5 boxes checked	
18-21 not scored		
22. Project integration into neighborhood	Up to 2 pts if adequate description	
23. Use of existing homeless facility or project?	1 pt if yes	
	Subtotal: Project Overview	

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES (20 pts)	SCORING GUIDE	SCORE
24. Project policies consistent with education services laws	N/A	
25. Project has a designated staff person to ensure children enrolled in school	N/A	
26. Description of how participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing.	Up to 4 pts for a clear response	
27. Has clear description of assistance to increase employment and/or income.	Up to 4 pts for a clear response	
28. Services Chart	7 pts for providing 5 or more supportive services	
29. Does the Project provide transportation assistance?	1 points if yes	
30. Does project use a single application form?	1 pt if yes	
31. Will annual follow ups be conducted?	1 pt if yes	
32. Will project participants have access to SSI/SSDI assistance?	1 pt if yes	
33. Has staff person completed SOAR training?	1 pt if yes	
34-35 not scored		
	Subtotal: Support Services	

OUTREACH PLAN (5 pts)	SCORING GUIDE	SCORE
36. Locations from which participants will be coming	2 pts if 100% from locations outlined	
37. Description of other eligibility	N/A	
38. Describe outreach plan to bring people into project	Up to 3 pts if have clear outreach plan	
	Subtotal: Outreach	

STANDARD PERFORMANCE MEASURES (4 pts) (there can only be point in #39 OR #40)	SCORING GUIDE	SCORE
<b>PSH ONLY</b>		
39a. PSH Housing Measure	2 pts if 90% or above	
39. 2a: Increase total income	2 pts if 70% or above (OR)	
39. 2b: Increase earned income	2 pts if 70% or above	
<b>RRH ONLY</b>		
40 a. RRH Housing Measure	2 pts if 90% or above	
40. 2a: Increase total income	2 pts if 70% or above (OR)	
40. 2b: Increase earned income	2 pts if 70% or above	
	Subtotal: Standard Performance Measures	

FINANCIALS (29 pts)	SCORING GUIDE	SCORE
41. Project can be completed by Sept 30, 2018	3 pts	
42. Total cost per client (HUD) <b>Per HUD Study PSH average: \$11,592</b> <b>Per NAEH study RR average: \$4100</b>	4 pts if below avge, 3 pts if Average	
43. Were the required attachments included?	2 pts	
<b>BUDGET</b>		
Complete budget forms are attached.	Up to 6 points - Review for accuracy and reasonableness	
Did the project expend 100% of funds in its last completed fiscal year? <b>This information will be provided by SARAH staff</b>	5 pts for yes; 3 pts. for <5% unspent	
<b>MATCH AND LEVERAGE</b>		
Match Requirement	N/A	
Leverage Requirement	9 points for 2:1; 6 points for 1:5	
	Subtotal: Financials	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT APPLICATION SCORE 100 MAXIMUM</b>		